

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,015	03/25/2004	Tommy Constantine	4089-A3C	7839
45848 7590 12/04/2007 MICHAEL WINFIELD GOLTRY 4000 N. CENTRAL AVENUE, SUITE 1220			EXAMINER	
			ST CYR, DANIEL	
PHOENIX, AZ 85012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,015	CONSTANTINE, TOMMY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel St.Cyr	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims 4)						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) \[Claim(s) is/are allowed. \] 6) \[Claim(s) \frac{21-28}{21-28} is/are rejected. \] 7) \[Claim(s) is/are objected to. \] 8) \[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

10/809,015 Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

1. this is in response to the applicant's communication filed 10/15/07.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yan et al. (US 2002/0152116; hereinafter "Yan").

Re claim 21: Yan teaches a method comprising steps of: providing an authorized user of a credit card issued by a service provider ("... provide an authorized card holder of a credit card" paragraph 31, lines 1-3); the authorized user incurring debt on the credit card ("... provide an authorized card holder of a credit card, who incurs debts on or with the card..." paragraph 31, lines 1-6); and for a predetermined amount of debt incurred by the authorized user on the credit card, the service provider submitting an entry into a sweepstakes on behalf of the authorized user ("... provide an authorized card holder of a credit card, who incurs debts on or with the card, with an award (i. e. dynamically generated rebate, fixed rebate) that itself represents an opportunity, on the basis of the debts incurred with the credit card ... The second mode comprises awarding a deep sweepstake rebate wherein a transaction or an account is

10/809,015

Art Unit: 2876

dynamically selected for a fixed discount percent as designated by the sponsoring card issuer..." paragraphs 31 and 30-32).

Re claim 22: Yan teaches the method further comprising conducting a drawing from entries of the sweepstakes, wherein the entry of the authorized user is one of the entries (see paragraph 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan in view of Shurling et al. (US 6,009,415; hereinafter "Shurling"). The teachings of Yan have been discussed above.

Yan fails to teach the steps of providing an authorized user of a credit card issued by a service provider; the authorized user referring a first/new customer to the service provider for credit card services; the first/new customer submitting/enrolling an application for credit card services to the service provider; the service provider receiving, processing and approving the application and issuing a credit card to the first customer establishing a first referred authorized user of a credit card; and in consideration therefore to the authorized user the service provider issuing valuable consideration to the authorized user.

Shurling teaches a method comprising steps of: providing an authorized user (24 in fig. 1) of a credit card issued by a service provider (col. 1, lines 6-27; col. 4, lines 20-42); the authorized

10/809,015

Art Unit: 2876

user referring a first/new customer to the service provider for credit card services (col. 1, lines 6-27; col. 4, lines 20-42); the first/new customer submitting/enrolling an application for credit card services to the service provider (col. 7, lines 48-65); the service provider receiving, processing and approving the application and issuing a credit card to the first customer establishing a first referred authorized user of a credit card; and in consideration therefore to the authorized user the service provider issuing valuable consideration (i.e., Incentive Rewards) to the authorized user (abstract; col. 2, line 46 through col. 3, line 11; col. 4, lines 6-20).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the steps of referring new customer to the service provider as taught by Shurling to the teachings of ¥an in order to apply/grant the sweepstake incentives (i.e., entering sweepstake entry) to the referring customer encouraging customer to refer new customer(s) to increase the chance to win.

6. Claims 24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over \u00e4an as modified by Shurling as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Selgas et al. (US 6,571,290; hereinafter "Selgas"). The teachings of Yan as modified by Shurling have been discussed above.

Although, Shurling teaches the step of the first/new customer submitting an application for credit card services to the service provider (col. 7, lines 48-65); and other customers which a specific customer may refer to the bank (col. 11, lines 41-45); Yan as modified by Shurling fails to teach or fairly suggest the first customer designating the authorized user as a referring party. Selgas teaches the step of the user 110 enters registration information about the user 110 and

10/809,015

Art Unit: 2876

Referral Information if available (col. 15, lines 58-65), which serves as the first customer designating the authorized user as a referring party.

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the first customer designating the authorized user as a referring party as taught by Selgas to the teachings of Yan as modified by Shurling in order to provide a useful option for the new user to designate the referring party. Such modification would have been an obvious expedient to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art in order to provide a way for new use to designate the referring party.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 12/4/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. (see examiner remarks).

REMARKS:

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., submitting an entry into a sweepstake on behalf of the authorized user in response to the authorized user repaying an amount of debt incurred on the card) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Yan teaches a method of selecting credit card users though a sweepstake method for reward. The selection is be made within a pool of users, these users have to be entered into the system in order to stand a chance of being selected, they can either be selectively or

10/809,015

Art Unit: 2876

automatically entered (see paragraph 7). With respect to the applicant's statement that the rebate is generated randomly for each eligible transaction is not clear, the examiner, as best understood, respectfully disagrees. To obtain a large credit rebate is done through a sweepstake (i.e. involving chance of winning such rebate), it is a sweepstake. Yan discloses a fixed mode, dynamic mode, and a sweepstake mode rebate. They applicant's argument is not persuasive.

Note

8. Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

10/809,015

Art Unit: 2876

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 571-272-2407. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel St.Cyr Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2876

DS

November 28, 2007